

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY ADMINISTRATION 1100 North Eutaw Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201 Telephone: 383-5032

-DECISION-

BOARD OF APPEALS
THOMAS W. KEECH
Chairman
HAZEL A. WARNICK
MAURICE E. DILL
Associate Members
SEVERN E. LANIER
Appeals Counsel

RUTH MASSINGA Secretary

DECISION NO.:

684-ER-83

DATE:

May 24, 1983

CLAIMANT:

Walter Smith

APPEAL NO .:

15847

J. NO .:

EMPLOYER:

L.O. NO.:

50

APPELLANT:

CLAIMANT

ISSUE

Whether the Claimant was actively seeking work with the meaning of §4(c) of the Law.

NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT

YOU MAY FILE AN APPEAL FROM THIS DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF MARYLAND. THE APPEAL MAY BE TAKEN IN PERSON OR THROUGH AN ATTORNEY IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY, OR THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY IN MARYLAND IN WHICH YOU RESIDE.

THE PERIOD FOR FILING AN APPEAL EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT

June 23, 1983

- APPEARANCE -

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

REVIEW ON THE RECORD

Upon a review of the record in this case, the Board of Appeals reverses the decision of the Appeals Referee and concludes that, under the specific circumstances of this case, the Claimant was actively seeking work within the meaning of §4(c) of the Law.

Section 4(c) does not specifically require that a Claimant make personal contacts, although that is the usual standard that the Employment Security Administration and this Board apply. However, the standard set forth in the statute is:

Whether the efforts he has made to obtain work have been reasonable and are such efforts as an unemployed individual is expected to make if he is honestly looking for work.

Here, the unrebutted, sworn testimony of the Claimant is that using the telephone to make his job contacts is the most practical and reasonable method, given the nature of his job, the facilities offered by the union and the diverse geographic locations in which he is seeking work. Therefore, the Board concludes that under these circumstances, the Claimant is meeting the requirements of §4(c) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law.

DECISION

The Claimant is actively seeking work, within the meaning of §4(c) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law. He is entitled to benefits from from November 21, 1982 if he is otherwise eligible under the law.

The decision of the Appeals Referee is reversed.

Associate Member

Associate Member

W:D

COPIES MAILED TO:

CLAIMANT

OUT-OF-STATE CLAIM (folder not available)



STATE OF MARYLAND HARRY HUGHES Governor KALMAN R. HETTLEMAN Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY ADMINISTRATION 1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 383 - 5040

- DECISION -

BOARD OF APPEALS

THOMAS W. KEECH Chairman

MAURICE E. DILL HAZEL A. WARNICK Associate Members

SEVERN E. LANIER Appeals Counsel

MARK R. WOLF Administrative Hearings Examiner

CLAIMANT: Walter Smith

DATE: February 8, 1983

APPEAL NO .:

15847

S. S. NO .:

EMPLOYER:

L. O. NO.:

50 (Pa)

APPELLANT:

Claimant

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was actively seeking work within the meaning of Section 4 (c) of the Law.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REVIEW

ANY INTERESTED PARTY TO THIS DECISION MAY REQUEST A REVIEW AND SUCH PETITION FOR REVIEW MAY BE FILED IN ANY EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OFFICE, OR WITH THE APPEALS DIVISION, ROOM 515, 1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201, EITHER IN PER. IN OR BY MAIL.

THE PERIOD FOR FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT ON

February 23, 1983

- APPEARANCES -

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

Present Telephonic Hearing January 25, 1983

FINDINGS OF FACT

The claimant filed an original claim for unemployment insurance benefits, effective September 12, 1982.

The claimant was employed by Fire Protection Industry, for approximately five weeks, his last job classification as a sprinkler fitter, on an hourly wage rate of \$16.17. He last worked for this employer on or about September 24, 1982.